

August 21, 2006

Dear Mr. Lohse,

I am writing in response to your letter dated August 15, 2006. In it you claimed that I did not submit enough evidence to prove wrongdoing or provide enough proof that I was the subject of an illicit experiment by a few of your faculty members and students. You also mentioned that I was not listed as a formal subject on any lists associated with Davis, Irvine or Berkeley, and you questioned various parties who "denied" being involved in this case. Because of these reasons you wrote that you were closing your investigation.

What was apparently a point of confusion is that I am not stating that this illicit experiment was formally recorded by the IRB of Irvine, Davis or Berkeley. What I am alleging is that this experiment was covert and that it is probably not formally recorded anywhere. Therefore, I was disappointed to see that your decision to close this investigation was made only after you "checked" to see if it was formally recorded at these UC sites. Specifically you also claimed to have spoken with Phil Shaver and Charles Fadley. Phil Shaver has not been teaching classes since the Spring semester and has taken the Summer off, according to the psychology department at UCDAVIS. Have you contacted him at his home or did you not contact him at all?

Again, for your information, Livermore Lab, in Livermore, California, is rumored to be conducting research and experimental studies in efforts to research what is referred to as "non-lethal" technology. Everything I am alleging was done to me is alluded to in several books by researchers. I am re-submitting three pages from a book, (the third page has most of the information) authored by Alex Constantine, called "Psychic Dictatorship," to you, as well as another chapter from his book, entitled "Blue Smoke and Lasers." Although these facts are mentioned in other sources as well, I find that Alex Constantine makes the point sufficiently. Please read this information carefully.

I have discovered that your UC system has a formal contract with Lawrence Livermore Laboratory [LLL], in Livermore, California, or runs it, if you prefer, and interns can study and work at this facility, as you probably know. In fact, the police department at LLL actually call themselves the "University of California Police Department." In addition, up until June 1, 2006, the Presidents Office of the UC System, which would be your office, also ran another lab by the name of Los Alamos National Laboratory in New Mexico, and now you are one member of a four member team consortium which runs the lab. It is known that experiments and research into "non-lethal" weaponry is also conducted by Los Alamos, and a man by the name of John Alexander used to be the head of the Non-lethal department. This is common knowledge because this Lab used to offer workshops at various facilities devoted to the discussion of non-lethal weaponry, in fact, I found the discussion topics myself which was posted on the internet at one time. So the fact that they research Non-lethal weaponry should not be a point of contention.

What this means is that the UC System, specifically your office, is in concert with research facilities who are experimenting with ways in which to impact people without

their knowledge in the cruelest of fashions. Because it is a research facility, they obviously need human subjects, which brings me again to the contents of my complaint.

I am alleging that Scott Locklin and John Price, previously from UC Davis and psychology professor Phil Shaver from this school used me as a human subject without my consent and they have been assaulting me at various times up until this year with the usage of Non-lethal weaponry from other facilities. This includes experiments to Subliminally Program me remotely via computer, experiments in microwave hearing and cybertronics. I am alleging Elizabeth Loftus from UC Irvine was involved in this activity as well.

Scott Locklin was working at the Advanced Light Source, a Livermore Lab facility which declined to admit formal affiliation with the main branch in Livermore, but clearly is affiliated, via the Presidents Office, and he was working on a project involving atomic physics. John Price's thesis was about the auditory function of gerbils and I believe references in his thesis were made to implants but I could be wrong there. I believe both of these prior students and Phil Shaver worked from the Livermore Lab site in Livermore, California in their efforts to target me, beginning in the year 2000, and used me as the subject of their respective thesis as a take-off point of research, and used me in other experiments as well. Therefore, again, the IRB would not have me listed as a human subject anywhere and these people would not have their experiments formally listed. Why would they, this is illegal activity. In that case, as a prior investigator myself, what would be needed is further inquiry on a more serious level, rather than a superficial one, which gives the appearance that your intention was simply to dismiss my claims outright which is completely understandable since your school system is deeply intertwined with these facilities. I just hope the President's Office is not consciously involved, with full knowledge, that these experiments take place.

I believe I adequately proved in my report that these particular parties, and others, had sufficient motivation to harm me, and both Scott Locklin and John Price are open satanists. Locklin wrote in alt.satanism under the pseudonym Lupo LeBoucher for many years, and John Price wrote "satanic trolls" under his organizational heading in a newsgroup called sci.psychology.psychotherapy. John Price was continually stating "sex with children was not always harmful" and was clearly covering up for pedophiles. They both knew and defended Michael Aquino, a satanic cult leader and alleged perpetrator of the ritual abuse of children throughout the state of California. If you recall, I was a child abuse investigator and my forte was investigating this subject matter. I am resubmitting my report which explains these facts in case you have misplaced it.

Phil Shaver authored a research study, along with Gail Goodman, and others, about the characteristics of ritual abuse in the mid 1990's, and I publicly questioned the methodology of their research study, and perhaps embarrassed both of them. Furthermore, another researcher and I, by the name of Constance Dahlenberg from San Diego, were in the process of requesting the basic underlying data which was used to write this research study before I was targeted and victimized. I was also in the process of alerting the FBI

along with another therapist about possible insurance fraud perpetrated by an organization called the False Memory Syndrome Foundation.

When my identity was publicly revealed in a San Diego newspaper, the question was posed, what are we going to do with her? This was actually written in the newspaper. I am telling you what they did with me and I would appreciate it if you would put more effort into your investigation. If you cannot do it, it appears that you have a conflict of interest. In that case I request information from you about an appeals process or I'll send my complaint to the source which funds your school in hopes that they will take this a little more seriously.

In case you want to reopen your investigation, I offer the following investigatory leads for your office to pursue:

- 1) Actually speak with Phil Shaver and formally ask him if he worked with Scott Locklin at Livermore Lab in Livermore Calif in any experiments involving his research study. Check his computer to see if he has any suspicious activity documented. Now would be a good a time to do it because he is not in his office. Speak with Gail Goodman from the psychology department, his co-author and his ex or present girlfriend, about Phil Shaver and these allegations.
- 2) Investigate why Scott Locklin did not graduate from school and what his thesis on atomic physics involved and where he worked while working on his thesis. I suggest speaking with Janos Kirz of the Berkeley Advanced Light lab about this. Ask him if what I am alleging is theoretically possible, whether he knows experiments into "non-lethals" is conducted by both Livermore Lab and Los Alamos, and whether he spoke to Locklin about these subjects.
- 3) Investigate under what conditions John Price left UCDAVIS and ask Dr. Henry, his thesis advisor, what the point of Price's thesis was. Actually speak to Phil Shaver and ask if John Price was asked to leave the school due to the fact child porn was found on his computer which is was is what he told me.
- 4) Call your facility, Livermore Lab in Livermore, California, and ask whether any of these parties worked from their site anytime during the past six years.
- 5) Call this facility and your other site, Los Alamos Lab, and ask them whether or not they conduct research into "non-lethals."

Call NASA Ames (they also have an intern program) and ask whether any of these parties used their facilities.

- 6) Ask both Livermore Lab and NASA Ames whether they ever had an employee by the name of Jack Aldrich working there. I am told he reportedly oversaw these experiments.

In summary, I am just one complaining party for now, but I am reporting on illegal activity by these people who were or who are now affiliated with your schools, and I think it would be in your best interests to rout out any illegal research projects conducted by them and who might be involved with them, as well as the laboratories the Presidents Office is formally affiliated with. Your present contract with Livermore Lab and Los Alamos is quite disturbing because of the bad reputation they both have and provides a circumstantial case of malfeasance.

Sincerely,
Diana Napolis, M.A.
